

Integrability of natural Hamiltonian systems with homogeneous potentials of degree zero

Guy Casale¹, Guillaume Duval², Andrzej J. Maciejewski³ and Maria Przybylska⁴

¹ IRMAR UMR 6625, Université de Rennes 1, Campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France (e-mail: guy.casale@univ-rennes1.fr)

²1 Chemin du Chateau , 76 430 Les Trois Pierres, France. (e-mail: dduuvvaall@wanadoo.fr)

³ Institute of Astronomy, University of Zielona Góra, Licealna 9, PL-65–417 Zielona Góra, Poland (e-mail: maciejka@astro.ia.uz.zgora.pl)

⁴Toruń Centre for Astronomy, N. Copernicus University, Gagarina 11, PL-87–100 Toruń, Poland, (e-mail: Maria.Przybylska@astri.uni.torun.pl)

March 30, 2009

Abstract

We derive necessary conditions for integrability in the Liouville sense of natural Hamiltonian systems with homogeneous potential of degree zero. We derive these conditions through an analysis of the differential Galois group of variational equations along a particular solution generated by a non-zero solution $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ of nonlinear equations $\text{grad } V(\mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{d}$. We proved that if the system integrable then the Hessian matrix $V''(\mathbf{d})$ has only integer eigenvalues and is semi-simple.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider natural Hamiltonian systems with n degrees of freedom for which the Hamiltonian function is of the form

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 + V(\mathbf{q}), \quad (1.1)$$

where $\mathbf{q} := (q_1, \dots, q_n)$, $\mathbf{p} := (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ are the canonical coordinates, and V is a homogeneous function of degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Although systems of this form coming from physics and applied sciences are real we consider them as complex ones, i.e., we assume

that they are defined on complex symplectic manifold $M = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ equipped with the canonical symplectic form

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n dq_i \wedge dp_i.$$

Thus, Hamilton's equations have the canonical form

$$\frac{d}{dt}q = p, \quad \frac{d}{dt}p = -V'(q), \quad (1.2)$$

where V' denotes the gradient of V . Moreover, in our setting the time variable t is complex.

Let us assume that the following equation

$$V'(q) = q, \quad \text{where} \quad V'(q) := \text{grad } V(q), \quad (1.3)$$

has a non-zero solution $d \in \mathbb{C}^n$. It is called a proper Darboux point of the potential, and it defines a two dimensional plane

$$\Pi(d) := \{(q, p) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n} \mid (q, p) = (qd, pd), (q, p) \in \mathbb{C}^2\}, \quad (1.4)$$

which is invariant with respect to system (1.2). Equations (1.2) restricted to $\Pi(d)$ have the form

$$\dot{q} = p, \quad \dot{p} = -q^{k-1}. \quad (1.5)$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, the phase curves of this one degree of freedom Hamiltonian system are

$$\Gamma_{k,\varepsilon} := \left\{ (q, p) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{k}q^k = \varepsilon \right\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2, \quad \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (1.6)$$

Thus, a solution $(q, p) = (q(t), p(t))$ of (1.5) gives rise a solution $(q(t), p(t)) := (qd, pd)$ of equations (1.2) with the corresponding phase curve

$$\Gamma_{k,\varepsilon} := \{(q, p) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n} \mid (q, p) = (qd, pd), (q, p) \in \Gamma_{k,\varepsilon}\} \subset \Pi(d). \quad (1.7)$$

In [15] J. J. Morales-Ruiz and J. P. Ramis analysed the integrability of described Hamiltonian systems. To this end they investigated the variational equations which are the linearization of system (1.2) along phase curve $\Gamma_{k,\varepsilon}$ with $\varepsilon \neq 0$. They proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Morales-Ramis). *Assume that the Hamiltonian system defined by Hamiltonian (1.1) with a homogeneous potential $V \in \mathbb{C}(q)$ of degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ satisfies the following conditions:*

1. *there exists a non-zero $d \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $V'(d) = d$,*
2. *the system is integrable in the Liouville sense with first integrals which are meromorphic in a connected neighbourhood of $\Gamma_{k,\varepsilon}$, with $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}^*$.*

Then for each eigenvalue λ of Hessian matrix $V''(\mathbf{d})$, pair (k, λ) belongs to an item of the following list

case	k	λ	
1.	± 2	arbitrary	
2.	k	$p + \frac{k}{2}p(p-1)$	
3.	k	$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k-1}{k} + p(p+1)k \right)$	
4.	3	$-\frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{6}(1+3p)^2, \quad -\frac{1}{24} + \frac{3}{32}(1+4p)^2$ $-\frac{1}{24} + \frac{3}{50}(1+5p)^2, \quad -\frac{1}{24} + \frac{3}{50}(2+5p)^2$	
5.	4	$-\frac{1}{8} + \frac{2}{9}(1+3p)^2$	(1.8)
6.	5	$-\frac{9}{40} + \frac{5}{18}(1+3p)^2, \quad -\frac{9}{40} + \frac{1}{10}(2+5p)^2$	
7.	-3	$\frac{25}{24} - \frac{1}{6}(1+3p)^2, \quad \frac{25}{24} - \frac{3}{32}(1+4p)^2$ $\frac{25}{24} - \frac{3}{50}(1+5p)^2, \quad \frac{25}{24} - \frac{3}{50}(2+5p)^2$	
8.	-4	$\frac{9}{8} - \frac{2}{9}(1+3p)^2$	
9.	-5	$\frac{49}{40} - \frac{5}{18}(1+3p)^2, \quad \frac{49}{40} - \frac{1}{10}(2+5p)^2$	

where p is an integer.

The above theorem is one of the most beautiful applications of the differential Galois approach to the integrability studies—the so called Morales-Ramis theory, see [2; 3; 5; 4; 12; 13; 14]. The aim of this paper is to use this framework in order to find necessary conditions for the integrability of homogeneous potentials with degree of homogeneity $k = 0$ which are excluded by assumptions of Theorem 1.1.

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that the Hamiltonian system defined by Hamiltonian (1.1) with a homogeneous potential $V \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{q})$ of degree $k = 0$ satisfies the following conditions:*

1. *there exists a non-zero $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $V'(\mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{d}$, and*
2. *the system is integrable in the Liouville sense with rational first integrals.*

Then:

1. all eigenvalues of $V''(\mathbf{d})$ are integers, and
2. matrix $V''(\mathbf{d})$ is semi-simple.

The fact that new obstructions for the integrability appear when the Hessian matrix $V''(\mathbf{d})$ is not semi-simple was observed recently in [8] where the following theorem was proved.

Theorem 1.3 (Duval, Maciejewski). *Let $V(\mathbf{q})$ be a homogeneous potential degree $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-2, 0, 2\}$, such that there exists a non-zero solution $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ of equation $V'(\mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{d}$. If the Hamiltonian system generated by (1.1) is integrable in the Liouville sense with first integrals which are meromorphic in a connected neighbourhood of $\Gamma_{k,\varepsilon}$, with $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then the Hessian matrix $V''(\mathbf{d})$ satisfies the following conditions:*

1. For each eigenvalue λ of $V''(\mathbf{d})$, the pair (k, λ) belongs to Table (1.8).
2. The matrix $V''(\mathbf{d})$ does not have any Jordan block of size $m \geq 3$.
3. If $V''(\mathbf{d})$ has a Jordan block of size $m = 2$ with corresponding eigenvalue λ , then (k, λ) belongs to a row in Table (1.8) with number greater than two.

In the above statement, by a Jordan block of size m with the eigenvalue λ , we mean that the Jordan form of $V''(\mathbf{d})$ contains a block of the form

$$B(\lambda, m) := \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & \lambda & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \lambda & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{M}(m, \mathbb{C}), \quad (1.9)$$

where $\mathbb{M}(m, \mathbb{C})$ denotes the set of $m \times m$ complex matrices.

In the next Section we derive the variational equations. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is contained in Section 3 and Section 3, where we investigate the differential Galois groups of subsystems of the variational equations. The last Section contains an example of application of Theorem 1.2 to two dimensional potentials.

2 Variational equations

Let us assume that a non-zero $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfies $V'(\mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{d}$, and $k = 0$. Then, $\Pi(\mathbf{d})$ defined by (1.4) is invariant with respect to the system (1.2). However, for $k = 0$, the phase curve corresponding to a solution $(q, p) = (q(t), p(t))$ of one degree of freedom Hamiltonian system (1.5) is not algebraic. In fact, for $k = 0$, the phase curves of (1.5) are given by.

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon := \left\{ (q, p) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \ln q = \varepsilon \right\}, \quad \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.1)$$

A particular solution $(q, p) = (q(t), p(t))$ of (1.5) which lies on Γ_ε gives a particular solution $(q(t), p(t)) := (qd, pd) \in \Pi(d)$ of (1.2) which lies on phase curve

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon := \{(q, p) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n} \mid (q, p) = (qd, pd), (q, p) \in \Gamma_\varepsilon\} \subset \Pi(d). \quad (2.2)$$

The variational equations along Γ_ε have the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}x = y, \quad \frac{d}{dt}y = -\frac{1}{q^2}V''(d)x,$$

or simply

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}x = -\frac{1}{q^2}V''(d)x. \quad (2.3)$$

We can make a linear transformation $x = Cz$ such that the transformed equations take the form

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}z = -q^{k-2}\Lambda z, \quad (2.4)$$

and matrix $\Lambda = C^{-1}V''(d)C$ is the Jordan form of $V''(d)$.

From now on we work with a fixed value $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}$. In order to apply the differential Galois theory we have to introduce an appropriate differential field of functions defined on Γ_ε . We assumed that the considered first integrals are rational functions, i.e., elements of the field $\mathbb{C}(q, p)$. This is why we choose as our base field the restriction of field $\mathbb{C}(q, p)$ to Γ_ε . The restriction of $\mathbb{C}(q, p)$ to $\Pi(d)$ gives field $\mathbb{C}(q, p)$, which together with derivation $\frac{d}{dt}$ defined by

$$\frac{d}{dt}q = p, \quad \frac{d}{dt}p = -\frac{1}{q}, \quad (2.5)$$

is a differential field. The restriction of $\mathbb{C}(q, p)$ to Γ_ε gives the field

$$\mathbb{C}(\exp(\varepsilon - p^2/2), p) \simeq \mathbb{C}(p, \exp(p^2/2)).$$

This field equipped with derivation $\dot{p} = -e^{-\varepsilon} \exp(p^2/2)$ is our base differential field. From now on in all places q denotes $\exp(\varepsilon - p^2/2)$.

Recall that according the main theorem of the Morales-Ramis theory, if the system is integrable in the Liouville sense, then the differential Galois group of the variational equations along a particular solution is virtually Abelian, i.e., the identity component of this group is Abelian. Thus, we have to check whether the differential Galois group $\mathcal{G}$ over the field $\mathbb{C}(q, p)$ of system

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}z = -\frac{1}{q^2}\Lambda z, \quad (2.6)$$

is virtually Abelian. Notice that for each eigenvalue λ of $V''(d)$, the above system contains as a subsystem equation of the form

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}x = -\frac{\lambda}{q^2}x, \quad (2.7)$$

and, if Λ has a Jordan block with the corresponding eigenvalue λ , then it contains the following subsystem

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}x = -\frac{\lambda}{q^2}x, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}y = -\frac{\lambda}{q^2}y - \frac{1}{q^2}x. \quad (2.9)$$

To make the above observations useful we invoke the following fact. The differential Galois group of a subsystem is the quotient of the differential Galois group of the system. This implies that if the differential Galois group of a system is virtually Abelian, then the differential Galois group of its subsystem is virtually Abelian, see Section 1.4 in [8]. Thus, to find obstructions to the integrability of the considered systems it is enough to investigate the above subsystems.

It is convenient to give another form of (2.7) and (2.8). We take p as independent variable in those systems. We have

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \dot{p} \frac{d}{dp} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} = \ddot{p} \frac{d}{dp} + \dot{p}^2 \frac{d^2}{dp^2}.$$

Thus, using (2.5) we obtain

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} = \frac{p}{q^2} \frac{d}{dp} + \frac{1}{q^2} \frac{d^2}{dp^2}.$$

So, equation (2.7) transforms into

$$x'' + px' + \lambda x = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

where prime denotes derivation with respect to p . System (2.8)-(2.9) transforms into

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x'' + px' + \lambda x &= 0, \\ y'' + py' + \lambda y + x &= 0. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.11)$$

We underline that considering both of the above systems we have to determine their differential Galois groups over the field $\mathbb{C}(q, p)$, not over $\mathbb{C}(p)$!

3 Rank 2 subsystems

Let G denotes the differential Galois group of equation (2.10) over $(\mathbb{C}(p), \frac{d}{dp})$. We show the following.

Proposition 3.1. *If $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}$, then $G = \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $G = \mathbb{C}^* \ltimes \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Let $z = -p^2/2$. Then, after this change of independent variable, equation (2.10) is of the form

$$z \frac{d^2 x}{dz^2} + (c - z) \frac{dx}{dz} + ax = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad c = \frac{1}{2}, \quad a = -\frac{\lambda}{2}. \quad (3.1)$$

This is the confluent hypergeometric equation in the Kummer form [9]. Its differential Galois group over $\mathbb{C}(z)$ was investigated in [16], see also [6; 7]. From those investigations we know that

- if $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}$, then the Galois group is $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$,
- if $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, then Galois group is $\mathbb{C}^* \ltimes \mathbb{C}$.

This result gives the Galois group of equation (2.10) over the field $\mathbb{C}(p^2)$, hence over $\mathbb{C}(p)$. $\square$

For an integer λ we can characterise solutions of equation (2.10) in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$x_\lambda := \begin{cases} qH_{\lambda-1}(p), & \text{for } \lambda \geq 1, \\ H_{-\lambda}(-ip) & \text{for } \lambda \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where H_n is the Hermite polynomial of degree n , is a solution of equation (2.10). Its other solution is given by

$$\tilde{x} := x_\lambda \int \frac{q}{x_\lambda^2} dp.$$

Proof. If we put $x = qy$, then equation (2.10) transforms into

$$y'' - py' + ny = 0 \quad \text{where } n = \lambda - 1.$$

This is the Hermite differential equation which has for integer $n = \lambda - 1$ polynomial solution $H_n(p)$. If $\lambda \leq 0$ we make transformation $p \mapsto -ip$, which transforms equation (2.10) into the Hermite equation with $n = -\lambda$. The formula for the second solution is standard. $\square$

We notice here that the Hermite polynomials H_n used in this paper are denoted by He_n in [1].

Now, we investigate the differential Galois group $\widehat{G}$ of equation (2.10) over our base field $\mathbb{C}(q, p)$. More precisely we determine its dimension. Let us recall that according to the Kolchin theorem the dimension of the differential Galois group of an equation is equal to the transcendence degree of the Picard-Vessiot extension of this field solving the equation. Knowing the dimension we can decide if the group is virtually Abelian.

Lemma 3.3. *If $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}$, then $\widehat{G}$ is not virtually Abelian. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\widehat{G}$ is virtually Abelian.*

Proof. Let K be the Picard-Vessiot extension of $\mathbb{C}(p)$ solving the linear system

$$\begin{cases} x'' + px' + \lambda x = 0, \\ u' + pu = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

so

$$K := \mathbb{C}(p, u, x_1, x_2, x'_1, x'_2),$$

for a basis of solutions. Let K_1 be the subextension of $K/\mathbb{C}(p)$ generated by u , i.e., $K_1 = \mathbb{C}(p, u)$, and K_2 be the differential subextension of $K/\mathbb{C}(p)$ generated by x_1 and x_2 , i.e.,

$$K_2 := \mathbb{C}(p, x_1, x_2, x'_1, x'_2).$$

Note, that according to our notation $K_1 = \mathbb{C}(p, u) = \mathbb{C}(p, \exp(-p^2/2)) \simeq \mathbb{C}(q, p)$.

We have two towers of extensions

$$\mathbb{C}(p) \subset K_1 \subset K, \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\mathbb{C}(p) \subset K_2 \subset K. \tag{3.5}$$

Our aim is to determine the transcendence degree $\text{tr.deg}(K/K_1)$ of extension K/K_1 . This number is the dimension of the differential Galois group $\widehat{G}$.

Using basic properties of the transcendence degree, see, e.g., Chapter 8 in [10], from the first tower (3.4) we obtain

$$\text{tr.deg}(K/\mathbb{C}(p)) = \text{tr.deg}(K/K_1) + \text{tr.deg}(K_1/\mathbb{C}(p)). \tag{3.6}$$

We know that $\text{tr.deg}(K_1/\mathbb{C}(p)) = 1$ because $u = \exp(-p^2/2)$ is transcendental over $\mathbb{C}(p)$. Thus, we need to determine $\text{tr.deg}(K/\mathbb{C}(p))$. But from the tower (3.5) we have

$$\text{tr.deg}(K/\mathbb{C}(p)) = \text{tr.deg}(K/K_2) + \text{tr.deg}(K_2/\mathbb{C}(p)),$$

and this gives us

$$\text{tr.deg}(K/K_1) = \text{tr.deg}(K/K_2) + \text{tr.deg}(K_2/\mathbb{C}(p)) - 1. \tag{3.7}$$

Two cases have to be distinguished.

- If λ is not integer, then by Proposition 3.1, the transcendence degree of $K_2/\mathbb{C}(p)$ is 4. So transcendence degree of K/K_1 must be greater or equal to 3. The Galois group of this extension is a dimension 3 subgroup of $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, so it is not virtually Abelian.
- If λ is integer, then by Proposition 3.2, $q \in K_2$. Thus, the transcendence degree of K/K_2 is 0. As, by Proposition 3.1, $\text{tr.deg}(K_2/\mathbb{C}(p)) = 2$, the transcendence degree of K/K_1 is 1. The Galois group of the variational equation is virtually Abelian.

□

Corollary 3.4. *If an eigenvalue of $V''(\mathbf{d})$ at a Darboux point is not integer, then the Hamiltonian system (1.2) is not integrable.*

4 Rank 4 subsystems

In this section we investigate the differential Galois group over $\mathbb{C}(q, p)$ of system (2.8)-(2.9) under assumption that $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. At first we show the following.

Proposition 4.1. *If $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, then equation (2.8) has a solution in $\mathbb{C}(p, q)$, and its differential Galois group over $\mathbb{C}(p, q)$ is the additive group G_a .*

Proof. If we take p as an independent variable in equation (2.8), then it becomes equation (2.10). Now, by Proposition 3.2 its solution $x_\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(q, p)$. We know from the proof of Lemma 3.3 that the dimension of the Galois group is 1, and this proves the second statement. $\square$

Proposition 4.2. *If $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the differential Galois group over $\mathbb{C}(q, p)$ of system (2.8)-(2.9) is virtually Abelian, then there exists $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the integral*

$$R := \int \left(a \frac{1}{x_\lambda^2} - \frac{1}{q^2} x_\lambda^2 \right) dt = a \int \frac{q}{x_\lambda^2} dp - \int \frac{x_\lambda^2}{q} dp, \quad (4.1)$$

belongs to $\mathbb{C}(q, p)$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.1, the differential Galois group of equation (2.8) is the additive group G_a . So, we can apply point 2 of Theorem 2.3 from [8]. The condition (α) in this theorem is, in our case, $R \in \mathbb{C}(q, p)$, for a certain $a \in \mathbb{C}$. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *For any $a \in \mathbb{C}$ the integral $R(q, p)$ does not belong to the field $\mathbb{C}(p, q)$.*

Proof. We prove our Lemma by a contradiction. Let us assume that there exists $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $R(q, p) \in \mathbb{C}(p, q)$.

At first we consider the case $\lambda \geq 1$. In this case the solution of equation (2.8) in $\mathbb{C}(p, q)$ is $x_\lambda = qH_{\lambda-1}(p)$, see Proposition 3.2 and thus

$$R = \int \left(a \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{H_{\lambda-1}(p)^2} - qH_{\lambda-1}(p)^2 \right) dp. \quad (4.2)$$

As a rational function of the transcendent $q = \exp(\varepsilon - p^2/2)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}(p)$, R can be written in the form

$$R = \sum_{l=0}^m \alpha_l q^l + \frac{N(p, q)}{D(p, q)},$$

where $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}(p)$, N and D are elements of $\mathbb{C}(p)[q]$, and $\deg_q(N) < \deg_q(D)$. Moreover, this decomposition is unique. Differentiating both sides of (4.1) we obtain

$$a \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{H_{\lambda-1}^2} - qH_{\lambda-1}^2 = \sum_{l=0}^m (\alpha'_l - lp\alpha_l) q^l + \left(\frac{N(p, q)}{D(p, q)} \right)'. \quad (4.3)$$

As

$$0 > \text{ord}_q \frac{N}{D} := \deg_q N - \deg_q D \geq \text{ord}_q \left(\frac{N}{D} \right)',$$

we must have $m = 1$, because the decomposition in both sides of (4.3) is unique. In particular, we have

$$\alpha'_1 - p\alpha_1 = -H_{\lambda-1}^2. \quad (4.4)$$

Because this equation is regular, $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{C}[p]$, and it can be written as a linear combination of Hermite polynomials

$$\alpha_1 = \sum_{n=0}^N \gamma_n H_n, \quad \text{where } \gamma_n \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Hermite polynomials satisfy the following relation

$$H_{n+1}(p) = pH_n(p) - H'_n(p),$$

so we have

$$\alpha'_1 - p\alpha_1 = \sum_{n=0}^N \gamma_n (H'_n - pH_n) = - \sum_{n=0}^N \gamma_n H_{n+1}.$$

Thus, we can rewrite (4.4) in the form

$$H_{\lambda-1}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^N \gamma_n H_{n+1},$$

and so

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-p^2/2} H_{\lambda-1}^2(p) dp = \sum_{n=0}^N \gamma_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-p^2/2} H_{n+1}(p) dp.$$

But this gives a contradiction

$$\sqrt{2\pi}(\lambda-1)! = 0,$$

because

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-p^2/2} H_{n+1}(p) dp = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-p^2/2} H_{n+1}(p) H_0(p) dp = 0,$$

for $n \geq 0$. For $\lambda \geq 1$ our lemma is proved.

In the case $\lambda \leq 0$ the solution of equation (2.8) in $\mathbb{C}(p, q)$ is $x_\lambda = H_\lambda(-ip)$, so integral (4.1) reads

$$R = \int \left(\frac{aq}{H_{-\lambda}(-ip)^2} - \frac{1}{q} H_{-\lambda}(-ip)^2 \right) dp. \quad (4.5)$$

If we set

$$v = ip, \quad u = e^{\varepsilon - v^2/2} = e^{2\varepsilon}/q, \quad \tilde{\lambda} = 1 - \lambda, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{a} = ae^{4\varepsilon}, \quad (4.6)$$

then we transform the considered integral into the following one

$$R = ie^{-2\varepsilon} \int \left(\frac{\tilde{a}}{uH_{\tilde{\lambda}-1}(v)^2} - uH_{\tilde{\lambda}-1}(v)^2 \right) dv. \quad (4.7)$$

But this integral, after renaming variables, is proportional to that one already considered for $\lambda \geq 1$, see (4.2). So, it is not rational and this finishes the proof. $\square$

As corollaries we have.

Corollary 4.4. *If $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the differential Galois group over $\mathbb{C}(q, p)$ of system (2.8)-(2.9) is not virtually Abelian.*

Corollary 4.5. *If $V''(\mathbf{d})$ at a Darboux point has a Jordan block with integer eigenvalue, then Hamiltonian system (1.2) is not integrable.*

Now, our main result given by Theorem 1.2 follows directly from Corollary 3.4 and Corollary 4.5.

5 Examples

We consider in details case $n = 2$. Our aim is to give a full characterisation of homogeneous potentials $V \in \mathbb{C}(q_1, q_2)$ of degree $k = 0$, which satisfy the necessary conditions of Theorem 1.2.

Darboux points of V are non-zero solutions of equations

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial q_1} = q_1 \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial q_2} = q_2. \quad (5.1)$$

As it was explained in [11] it is convenient to consider Darboux points as points in the projective line $\mathbb{CP}^1$. Let $z = q_2/q_1$, $q_1 \neq 0$, be the affine coordinate on $\mathbb{CP}^1$. Then, we can rewrite system (5.1) in the form

$$v'(z)z = -q_1^2, \quad v'(z) = zq_1^2, \quad (5.2)$$

where $v(z) := V(1, z)$. From the above formulae it follows that z_* is a Darboux point of V , if and only if $z_* \in \{-i, i\}$, and $v'(z_*) \neq 0$. Thus, the location of Darboux points does not depend on the form of potential!

If z_* is the affine coordinate of a Darboux point $\mathbf{d}$ of V , then the Hessian matrix $V''(\mathbf{d})$ expressed in this coordinate has the form

$$V''(\mathbf{d}) = \begin{bmatrix} -v''(z_*)x_*^{-2} - 2 & -[v'(z_*) + z_*v''(z_*)]x_*^{-2} \\ -[v'(z_*) + z_*v''(z_*)]x_*^{-2} & v''(z_*)x_*^{-2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$x_*^2 = -v'(z_*)z_* = v'(z_*)/z_*.$$

Vector $\mathbf{d}$ is an eigenvector of $V''(\mathbf{d})$ with the corresponding eigenvalue $\lambda = -1$. As $\text{Tr } V''(\mathbf{d}) = -2$, $\lambda = -1$ is the only eigenvalue of $V''(\mathbf{d})$. Thus the first condition of Theorem 1.2 is satisfied. If $V''(\mathbf{d})$ is semi-simple, then it is diagonal. Hence the second condition of Theorem 1.2 is satisfied iff

$$v'(z_*) + z_* v''(z_*) = 0. \quad (5.4)$$

Let us apply the above criterion for potential

$$V = \frac{q_2}{q_1^3}(q_2 - a q_1)(q_2 - b q_1) \quad \text{where } a \neq b,$$

assuming that it has two Darboux points with affine coordinates $\pm i$. An easy calculation shows that condition (5.4) is satisfied for $z_* = \pm i$, iff

$$V = \frac{q_2}{q_1^3}(9q_1^2 + q_2^2).$$

We perform a direct search of the first integrals for this potential. We looked for first integrals which are polynomial with respect to the momenta, and we assumed that the coefficient of these polynomials are differentiable functions. In this way we checked that the potential does not admit any additional first integral which is a polynomial with respect to the momenta of degree less than five. So it is not clear if the potential is integrable or not. Anyway we must remember that Theorem 1.2 gives only necessary, not sufficient conditions for the integrability.

Acknowledgements

This research has been partially supported by grant No. N N202 2126 33 of Ministry of Science and Higher Education of Poland, by UMK grant 414-A, and by EU funding for the Marie-Curie Research Training Network AstroNet.

References

- [1] Abramowitz, M. and Stegun, I. A., editors, *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1992, reprint of the 1972 edition.
- [2] Audin, M., *Les systèmes hamiltoniens et leur intégrabilité*, Cours Spécialisés 8, Collection SMF, SMF et EDP Sciences, Paris, 2001.
- [3] Audin, M., Intégrabilité et non-intégrabilité de systèmes hamiltoniens (d'après S. Ziglin, J. Morales-Ruiz, J.-P. Ramis, . . .), *Astérisque*, (282):Exp. No. 884, viii, 113–135, 2002.

- [4] Baider, A., Churchill, R. C., Rod, D. L., and Singer, M. F., On the infinitesimal geometry of integrable systems, in *Mechanics Day (Waterloo, ON, 1992)*, volume 7 of *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pages 5–56, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.
- [5] Churchill, R. C., Rod, D. L., and Singer, M. F., Group-theoretic obstructions to integrability, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 15(1):15–48, 1995.
- [6] Duval, A., The Kovacic algorithm with applications to special functions, in *Differential equations and computer algebra*, Comput. Math. Appl., pages 113–130, Academic Press, London, 1991.
- [7] Duval, A. and Loday-Richaud, M., Kovačič’s algorithm and its application to some families of special functions, *Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput.*, 3(3):211–246, 1992.
- [8] Duval, G. and Maciejewski, A. J., Jordan obstruction to the integrability of Hamiltonian systems with homogeneous potentials, 2009, submitted for publication.
- [9] Erdélyi, A., Magnus, W., Oberhettinger, F., and Tricomi, F. G., *Higher transcendental functions. Vol. I*, Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co. Inc., Melbourne, Fla., 1981.
- [10] Lang, S., *Algebra*, volume 211 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, third edition, 2002.
- [11] Maciejewski, A. J. and Przybylska, M., Darboux polynomials and first integrals of natural polynomial Hamiltonian systems, *Phys. Lett. A*, 326(3-4):219–226, 2004.
- [12] Morales Ruiz, J. J., *Differential Galois Theory and Non-Integrability of Hamiltonian Systems*, volume 179 of *Progress in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1999.
- [13] Morales-Ruiz, J. J. and Ramis, J. P., Galoisian obstructions to integrability of Hamiltonian systems. I, *Methods Appl. Anal.*, 8(1):33–95, 2001.
- [14] Morales-Ruiz, J. J. and Ramis, J. P., Galoisian obstructions to integrability of Hamiltonian systems. II, *Methods Appl. Anal.*, 8(1):97–111, 2001.
- [15] Morales-Ruiz, J. J. and Ramis, J. P., A note on the non-integrability of some Hamiltonian systems with a homogeneous potential, *Methods Appl. Anal.*, 8(1):113–120, 2001.
- [16] Ramis, J.-P. and Martinet, J., Théorie de Galois différentielle et resommation, in *Computer algebra and differential equations*, Comput. Math. Appl., pages 117–214, Academic Press, London, 1990.